

# Differential Geometry of Microlinear Frölicher Spaces IV-2

Hirokazu Nishimura  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571  
Japan

October 17, 2011

## Abstract

The three approaches to jet bundles presented in the first part of the paper are shown to be equivalent, as far as coordinates are available.

## 1 Introduction

In this second part of the paper, we are concerned with the three approaches to jet bundles in [3] within the context where coordinates are available, namely,  $E = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $\pi$  is the canonical projection. We let  $i$  ( $j$ , resp.) range over the natural numbers between 1 and  $p$  (between 1 and  $q$ , resp.), including the endpoints. It is shown that, within this traditional context, the three approaches are essentially equivalent. The traditional coordinate approach to jet bundles is given a noble description after the manner of [1] in §3, where the affine bundle theorem is established on these lines. Our three approaches are related to this traditional approach in §4, §5 and §6 in order. In particular, the affine bundles in the second and third approaches are shown to be isomorphic to that in the traditional approach.

## 2 Taylor Representations

The following results are merely special cases of the general Taylor-type theorem such as seen in [2] (Part III, Proposition 5.2).

**Proposition 1** *Any  $f \in \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_n}$  is of a unique Taylor representation of the form*

$$\delta \in \mathbb{R} \longmapsto (x^i) + \delta(y_1^i) + \delta^2(y_2^i) + \dots + \delta^n(y_n^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

*with  $(x^i), (y_1^i), (y_2^i), \dots, (y_n^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .*

**Proposition 2** Any  $f \in \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n}$  is of a unique Taylor representation of the form

$$(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

with  $(x^i), (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

**Proposition 3** Any  $f \in \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(n)_m}$  is of a unique Taylor representation of the form

$$(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

with  $(x^i), (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

### 3 The Basic Framework with Coordinates

This section is inspired much by [1].

#### 3.1 The Basic Framework

**Notation 4** We denote by  $\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  the totality of

$$\gamma \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$$

such that

$$(\pi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}})(\gamma) \in M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$$

is degenerate in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}})(\gamma) \\ &= (\text{id}_M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n \rightarrow 1})(\gamma') \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\gamma' \in M \otimes \mathcal{W}_1 = M$ .

**Notation 5** We denote by  $\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)$  the totality of

$$t \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}$$

such that

$$(\pi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}})(t) \in M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}$$

is degenerate in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}})(t) \\ &= (\text{id}_M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1} \rightarrow 1})(t') \end{aligned}$$

for some  $t' \in M \otimes \mathcal{W}_1 = M$ .

**Remark 6** 1. Each  $\gamma \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$  can be identified uniquely with a sequence

$$\left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, x_{i_1, i_2}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^i, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right)_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p}$$

of real numbers at length

$$p + q + (p + q)p + \dots + (p + q)_{p+n-1} C_n$$

in the sense that the Taylor representation of  $\gamma$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p &\mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq p} (x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_1}^p, u_{i_1}^1, \dots, u_{i_1}^q) \delta_{i_1} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq p} (x_{i_1, i_2}^1, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2}^p, u_{i_1, i_2}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2}^q) \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p} (x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^1, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^p, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^q) \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} \dots \delta_{i_n} \\ &\in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned}$$

2. Each  $\nabla \in \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  can be identified uniquely with a sequence

$$\left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right)_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p}$$

of real numbers at length

$$p + q + qp + \dots + q_{p+n-1} C_n$$

in the sense that the Taylor representation of  $\nabla$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p &\mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq p} (0, \dots, 0, u_{i_1}^1, \dots, u_{i_1}^q) \delta_{i_1} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq p} (0, \dots, 0, u_{i_1, i_2}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2}^q) \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p} (0, \dots, 0, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^q) \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} \dots \delta_{i_n} \\ &\in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned}$$

3. Each  $t \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}$  can be identified uniquely with a sequence

$$\left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^p, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q \right)_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p}$$

of real numbers at length

$$p + q + (p + q)_{p+n} C_{n+1}$$

in the sense that the Taylor representation of  $t$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}})_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p} \\ & \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+n)C_{n+1}} \mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p} \left( x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^p, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q \right) \delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \\ & \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned}$$

4. Each  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi)$  can be identified uniquely with a sequence

$$(x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q)_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p}$$

of real numbers at length

$$p + q + q_{p+n}C_{n+1}$$

in the sense that the Taylor representation of  $\omega$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}})_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+n)C_{n+1}} \mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) + \\ & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq p} \left( 0, \dots, 0, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q \right) \delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \\ & \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 7** Since  $D(p)_n \subset D(p)_{n+1}$ , there is a canonical projection  $E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}} \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$ , which restricts itself naturally to  $\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$ . Both of them are denoted by  $\pi_{n+1, n}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{n+1, n} \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\ & = \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^i, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{n+1, n} \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\ & = \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 The Affine Bundle Theorem within the Basic Framework

It is easy to see that

**Lemma 8** The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(p)_n & \xrightarrow{i_{D(p)_n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} & D(p)_{n+1} \\ \downarrow i_{D(p)_n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} & \searrow \Phi_{D(p)_{n+1}} & \downarrow \Psi_{D(p)_{n+1}} \\ D(p)_{n+1} & & D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+n)C_{n+1} \end{array}$$

is a quasi-colimit diagram, where  $\Phi_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  is the canonical injection of  $D(p)_{n+1}$  into  $D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1})$ , and  $\Psi_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  is the mapping

$$(d_1, \dots, d_p) \in D(p)_{n+1} \mapsto (d_1, \dots, d_p, d_1^{n+1}, d_1^n d_2, \dots) \in D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1})$$

with the sequence  $d_1^{n+1}, d_1^n d_2, \dots$  being that of  $d_{k_1} d_{k_2} \dots d_{k_{n+1}}$ 's ( $1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_{n+1} \leq n+1$ ) in lexicographic order.

This implies at once that

**Proposition 9** Given  $\gamma_+, \gamma_- \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  with

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n} \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma_+) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n} \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma_-),$$

there exists unique  $\gamma \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1})}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Psi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma) &= \gamma_+ \text{ and} \\ \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Phi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma) &= \gamma_- \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 10** Under the same notation as in the above proposition, we denote

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Xi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma)$$

by

$$\gamma_+ \dot{-} \gamma_-,$$

where  $\Xi_{D^n} : D(p+nC_{n+1}) \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1})$  is the canonical injection.

**Remark 11** Given

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ &= \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, x_{i_1, i_2}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right), \\ \gamma_- &= \left( y^1, \dots, y^p, v^1, \dots, v^q, y_{i_1}^i, y_{i_1, i_2}^i, \dots, y_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i, v_{i_1}^j, v_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\ &\in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n} \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma_+) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n} \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma_-)$$

iff

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, x_{i_1, i_2}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^i, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \\ &= \left( y^1, \dots, y^p, v^1, \dots, v^q, y_{i_1}^i, y_{i_1, i_2}^i, \dots, y_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^i, v_{i_1}^j, v_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right), \end{aligned}$$

in which we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_+ \dot{-} \gamma_- \\ &= \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1 - v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q - v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q \right) \end{aligned}$$

From the very definition of  $\dot{-}$ , we have

**Proposition 12** *Let  $F$  be a mapping of  $E$  into  $E'$ . Given  $\gamma_+, \gamma_- \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  with*

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_+) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_-),$$

*we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \text{id}_{E'} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) \left( \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_+) \right) \\ &= \left( \text{id}_{E'} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)n \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) \left( \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_-) \right) \end{aligned}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p+nC_{n+1})}} \right) (\gamma_+ \dot{-} \gamma_-) \\ &= \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_+) \dot{-} \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma_-) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 13** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & & \xrightarrow{i_{1 \rightarrow D(p+nC_{n+1})}} & & D(p+nC_{n+1}) \\ i_{1 \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \downarrow & & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} & & \downarrow \Xi_{D(p)_{n+1}} \\ D(p)_{n+1} & & & & D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1}) \end{array}$$

*is a quasi-colimit diagram.*

This implies at once that

**Proposition 14** *Given  $t \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+nC_{n+1})}$  and  $\gamma \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  with*

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{1 \rightarrow D(p+nC_{n+1})}} \right) (t) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{1 \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma),$$

*there exists unique  $\gamma' \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1} \oplus D(p+nC_{n+1})}$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Xi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma') = t \text{ and} \\ & \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Phi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma') = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 15** *Under the same notation as in the above proposition, we denote*

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\Psi_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma')$$

*by  $t \dot{+} \gamma$ .*

**Remark 16** *Given*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, x_{i_1}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\ &\in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}t &= \left( y^1, \dots, y^p, v^1, \dots, v^q, y_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, y_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^p, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^1, \dots, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^q \right) \\ &\in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p+n)C_{n+1}} \right) (t) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma)$$

iff

$$(x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) = (y^1, \dots, y^p, v^1, \dots, v^q),$$

in which we get

$t \dot{+} \gamma$

$$= \left( x^i, u^j, x_{i_1}^i, \dots, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^i, x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i + y_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^i, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j + v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right)$$

From the very definition of  $\dot{+}$ , we have

**Proposition 17** *Let  $F$  be a mapping of  $E$  into  $E'$ . Given  $t \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}$  and  $\gamma \in E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}$  with*

$$\left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p+n)C_{n+1}} \right) (t) = \left( \text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) (\gamma),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left( \text{id}_{E'} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p+n)C_{n+1}} \right) \left( \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}} \right) (t) \right) \\ &= \left( \text{id}_{E'} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_1 \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} \right) \left( \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma) \right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (t \dot{+} \gamma) = \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}} \right) (t) \dot{+} \left( F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}}} \right) (\gamma),$$

Now we have

**Theorem 18** *The canonical projection  $\text{id}_E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i_{D(p)_n} \rightarrow D(p)_{n+1}} : E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_{n+1}} \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$  is an affine bundle over the vector bundle  $(E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p+n)C_{n+1}}) \times_E (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}) \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D(p)_n}$ .*

**Theorem 19** *The mapping  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  is an affine bundle over the vector bundle  $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi) \times_E \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$ .*

## 4 The First Approach with Coordinates

**Definition 20** We define  $\theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^1(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} \left( x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q, u_i^j \right) \\ &= \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p) + (y^1, \dots, y^p) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^1, \dots, x^p)} \mapsto \\ & \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q) + \left( y^1, \dots, y^p, \sum_{i=1}^p u_i^j y^i \right) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\ & \in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^1, \dots, x^p, u^1, \dots, u^q)} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 21** It is easy to see that the right-hand side of the above formula belongs to  $\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$ .

**Theorem 22** The mapping  $\theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^1(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$  is bijective.

**Remark 23** This gives a coordinate description of  $\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$ .

Now we are going to consider  $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}^2(\pi) = \mathbf{J}^1(\pi_1)$ , which has a coordinate description as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi_1)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi_1)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_2}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j \right) \\ &= \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + (y^j) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\ & \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j \right) + \left( y^i, \sum_{i_2=1}^p u_{i_2}^j y^{i_2}, \sum_{i_2=1}^p u_{i_1; i_2}^j y^{i_2} \right) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+pq} \right] \\ & \in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j)}, \end{aligned}$$

for which we get

**Proposition 24** We have

$$(x^i, u^j; u_{i_1}^j; u_{i_2}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \hat{\mathbf{J}}^2(\pi)$$

iff  $u_{i_1}^j = u_{i_2}^j$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq q$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_2}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \mathbf{J}^1(\pi_1)$  is  $\pi_{1,0}$ -related to  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j) \in \mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$  iff

$$u^j + \delta \sum_{i_2=1}^n y^{i_2} u_{i_2}^j = u^j + \delta \sum_{i_1=1}^n y^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j \quad (1 \leq j \leq q)$$

for all  $(y^1, \dots, y^p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and all  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is tantamount to saying that

$$u_{i_1}^j = u_{i_2}^j$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Notation 25** Thus the coordinate  $(x^i, u^j; u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \hat{\mathbf{J}}^2(\pi)$  can be simplified to  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j)$ .

Now we take a step forward.

**Proposition 26** Let  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \hat{\mathbf{J}}^2(\pi)$ . Then  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \mathbf{J}^2(\pi)$  iff

$$u_{i_1; i_2}^j = u_{i_2; i_1}^j$$

for all  $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq p$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq q$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^2})_{(x^i)}$ . Then  $\gamma$  is of the Taylor representation

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 &\mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^p) + \delta_1(y_1^1, \dots, y_1^p) + \delta_2(y_2^1, \dots, y_2^p) + \delta_1\delta_2(y_{12}^1, \dots, y_{12}^p) \\ &= (x^1 + \delta_1y_1^1, \dots, x^p + \delta_1y_1^p) + \delta_2(y_2^1 + \delta_1y_{12}^1, \dots, y_2^p + \delta_1y_{12}^p) \\ &= (x^1 + \delta_2y_2^1, \dots, x^p + \delta_2y_2^p) + \delta_1(y_1^1 + \delta_2y_{12}^1, \dots, y_1^p + \delta_2y_{12}^p) \\ &\in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nabla_{(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j)} = (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j)$  and  $\nabla_{(x^i, u^j)} = (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \in \mathbb{R} &\mapsto \nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(\cdot, 0))(\delta_1) \\ &= \delta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i + \delta_1y_1^i, u^j + \delta_1\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\ \delta_1 \in \mathbb{R} &\mapsto \nabla_{(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j)}(\gamma(\cdot, 0))(\delta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+pq} \\ &= \delta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i + \delta_1y_1^i, u^j + \delta_1\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1}^j + \delta_1\sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+pq} \end{aligned}$$

while we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 &\mapsto \nabla_{\nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(\cdot, 0))(\delta_1)}(\gamma(\delta_1, \cdot))(\delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\ &= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} x^i + \delta_1y_1^i + \delta_2y_2^i + \delta_1\delta_2y_{12}^i, u^j + \delta_1\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \\ \delta_2\sum_{i_1=1}^p (y_2^{i_1} + \delta_1y_{12}^{i_1}) (u_{i_1}^j + \delta_1\sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\ &= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} x^i + \delta_1y_1^i + \delta_2y_2^i + \delta_1\delta_2y_{12}^i, u^j + \\ \delta_1\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \delta_2\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \\ \delta_1\delta_2\sum_{i_1=1}^p y_{12}^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \delta_1\delta_2\sum_{i_2=1}^p \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_2} y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1; i_2}^j \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2 \in \mathbb{R} &\mapsto \nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(0, \cdot))(\delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \left( x^i + \delta_2 y_2^i, u^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
\delta_2 \in \mathbb{R} &\mapsto \nabla_{(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j)}(\gamma(0, \cdot))(\delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+pq} \\
&= \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \left( x^i + \delta_2 y_2^i, u^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1}^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+pq}
\end{aligned}$$

while we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 &\mapsto \nabla_{\nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(0, \cdot))(\delta_2)}(\gamma(\cdot, \delta_2))(\delta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} x^i + \delta_1 y_1^i + \delta_2 y_2^i + \delta_1 \delta_2 y_3^i, u^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \\ \delta_1 \sum_{i_1=1}^p (y_1^{i_1} + \delta_2 y_3^{i_1}) (u_{i_1}^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} x^i + \delta_1 y_1^i + \delta_2 y_2^i + \delta_1 \delta_2 y_3^i, u^j + \\ \delta_1 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_3^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \delta_1 \delta_2 \sum_{i_2=1}^p \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} x^i + \delta_1 y_1^i + \delta_2 y_2^i + \delta_1 \delta_2 y_3^i, u^j + \\ \delta_1 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j + \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_3^{i_2} u_{i_2}^j + \delta_1 \delta_2 \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1; i_2}^j \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Therefore it follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 &\mapsto \nabla_{\nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(\cdot, 0))(\delta_1)}(\gamma(\delta_1, \cdot))(\delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \nabla_{\nabla_{(x^i, u^j)}(\gamma(0, \cdot))(\delta_2)}(\gamma(\cdot, \delta_2))(\delta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^2})_{(x^i)}$  iff

$$u_{i_1; i_2}^j = u_{i_2; i_1}^j$$

for all  $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq p$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition 27** Thus we have defined a bijection  $\theta_{\mathbf{J}^2(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^2(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}^2(\pi)$ , which goes formally as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{\mathbf{J}^2(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1; i_2}^j) \\
&= [\delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + (y^i) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^p] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
&\left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} \left( (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j) + \left( y^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p u_{i_1}^j y^{i_1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^p u_{i_1; i_2}^j y^{i_2} \right) \delta \right) \in \mathbf{J}^1(\pi) \right] \\
&\in (\mathbf{J}^1(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{\theta_{\mathbf{J}^1(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j)}
\end{aligned}$$

We can go on by induction on  $n$ .

**Theorem 28** *The mapping  $\theta_{\mathbf{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}^{n+1}(\pi)$ , which is defined to be*

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathbf{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\ &= [\delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + (y^i) \delta \in \mathbb{R}^p] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\ & \left[ \theta_{\mathbf{J}^n(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \\ \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) + \\ \left( y^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p u_{i_1}^j y^{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^p u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j y^{i_{n+1}} \right) \delta \end{array} \right) \in \mathbf{J}^n(\pi) \right] \\ & \in (\mathbf{J}^n(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{\theta_{\mathbf{J}^n(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j)} \end{aligned}$$

by induction on  $n$ , is bijective.

## 5 The Second Approach with Coordinates

**Definition 29** *We define mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  as  $\varphi_n \circ \theta_{\mathbf{J}^n(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}$ .*

**Remark 30** *Since  $\mathbb{J}^D(\pi) = \mathbf{J}^1(\pi)$  and  $\varphi_1$  is the identity transformation, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^1}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j \right) \\ &= [\delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + \delta(y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\ & \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + \delta \left( y^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\ & \in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i, u^j)} \end{aligned}$$

With due regard to Theorem 28, it is easy to see that

**Lemma 31** Given  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j) \in \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j \right) \\
&= \left[ (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n+1} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \\
&\in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
&\left[ \begin{aligned} & (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mapsto \\ & \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \left( \begin{aligned} & (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) + \\ & (y_{n+1}^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p u_{i_1}^j y_{n+1}^{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^p u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j y_{n+1}^{i_{n+1}}) \delta_{n+1} \end{aligned} \right) \\ & \left( \begin{aligned} & (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto (x^i) + \\ & \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i + y_{k_1, \dots, k_r, n+1}^i \delta_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \right] \\
&\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^{n+1}})_{(x^i, u^j)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to determine  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)}$ .

**Theorem 32** Given  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j) \in \mathcal{J}^2(\pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j \right) \\
&= [(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) \delta_1 + (y_2^i) \delta_2 + (y_{12}^i) \delta_1 \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p] \\
&\in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^2})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
&\left[ \begin{aligned} & (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 + (y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_2 + \\ & (y_{12}^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j + \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_{12}^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned} \right] \\
&\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^2})_{(x^i, u^j)}
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The Taylor representation of

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j \right) \\
& ((\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) \delta_1 + (y_2^i) \delta_2 + (y_{12}^i) \delta_1 \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p) \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \\
& \theta_{\mathbb{J}^D(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} \left( \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j \right) + \left( y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j, \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j \right) \delta_2 \right) \\
& (\delta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i + y_2^i \delta_2) + (y_1^i + y_{12}^i \delta_2) \delta_1) \\
&\in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}
\end{aligned}$$

goes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( x^i + y_2^i \delta_2, u^j + \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j \right) \delta_2 \right) + \\
& \left( y_1^i + y_{12}^i \delta_2, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \left( u_{i_1}^j + \left( \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j \right) \delta_2 \right) (y_1^{i_1} + y_{12}^{i_1} \delta_2) \right) \delta_1 \\
& = (x^i, u^j) + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 + (y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_2 + \\
& (y_{12}^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j + \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_{12}^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 \delta_2
\end{aligned}$$

so that we have the coveted result. ■

Generally, by the same token, we have

**Theorem 33** *Given  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) \in \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) \\
& = \left[ (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \\
& \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
& \left[ \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \dots \sum_{i_s=1}^p y_{\mathbf{J}_1}^{i_1} \dots y_{\mathbf{J}_s}^{i_s} u_{i_1, \dots, i_s}^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\
& \in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n})_{(x^i, u^j)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the completely undecorated  $\sum$  is taken over all partitions of the set  $\{k_1, \dots, k_r\}$  into nonempty subsets  $\{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_s\}$ , and if  $\mathbf{J} = \{k_1, \dots, k_t\}$  is a set of natural numbers with  $k_1 < \dots < k_t$ , then  $y_{\mathbf{J}}^i$  denotes  $y_{k_1, \dots, k_t}^i$ .

**Proof.** By using Lemma 31, we can proceed by induction on  $n$ . The details are safely left to the reader. ■

**Definition 34** We define mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{S}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{D^n}(\pi)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)} \left( x^i, u^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \\ &= \left[ (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \delta_{k_1} \dots \delta_{k_r} (y_{k_1, \dots, k_r}^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \\ &\in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D^n})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\ &\left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \left( x^i, u^j + \delta \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p} y_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{i_1} \dots y_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{i_n} u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\ &\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i, u^j)}^\perp \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

**Proposition 35** The mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{S}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{D^n}(\pi)$  are bijective.

It is also easy to see that

**Proposition 36** Given  $\nabla = (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j), \nabla' = (y^i, v^j, v_{i_1}^j, v_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j) \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)$ , we have

$$\pi_n^{n+1}(\nabla) = \pi_n^{n+1}(\nabla')$$

iff

$$\pi_n^{n+1} \left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla) \right) = \pi_n^{n+1} \left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla') \right),$$

in which we get

$$\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla \dot{-} \nabla') = \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla) \dot{-} \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla')$$

**Theorem 37** The mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  are bijective.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The mapping  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^D(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^1(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^1(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^D(\pi)$  is obviously bijective. By Proposition 36 and the induction hypothesis,  $\left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}, \theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi)} \times_E \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}, \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \right)$  gives a morphism of affine bundles from the affine bundle  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  over the vector bundle  $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi) \times_E \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  to the affine bundle  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  over the vector bundle  $\mathbb{S}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi) \times_E \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  is an isomorphism of affine bundles, so that the mapping  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^{n+1}}(\pi)$  is bijective. ■

**Corollary 38** The mappings  $\varphi_n : \mathbf{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  are bijective.

**Proof.** This follows simply from Theorems 28 and 37 and the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) & \\
 \theta_{\mathbf{J}^n(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \swarrow & & \searrow \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \\
 \mathbf{J}^n(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)
 \end{array}$$

■

## 6 The Third Approach with Coordinates

**Definition 39** We define mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)$  as  $\psi_n \circ \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}$ .

Now we are going to determine  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)}$ .

**Theorem 40** Given  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j) \in \mathcal{J}^2(\pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)} (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j) \\
 &= \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) \delta + \frac{1}{2} (y_2^i) \delta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \\
 &\in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_2})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
 &\left[ \begin{aligned} & \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta + \\ & \frac{1}{2} (y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j + \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \end{aligned} \right] \\
 &\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_2})_{(x^i, u^j)}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The Taylor representation of

$$(\text{id}_M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(d_1, d_2) \in D^2 \mapsto d_1 + d_2 \in D_2}) \left( \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) \delta + \frac{1}{2} (y_2^i) \delta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^p \right)$$

is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \frac{1}{2} (y_2^i) (\delta_1 + \delta_2)^2 \in \mathbb{R}^p \\
 &= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i) + (y_1^i) \delta_1 + (y_1^i) \delta_2 + (y_2^i) \delta_1 \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p
 \end{aligned}$$

so that its transformation under the mapping  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^2}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^2(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_1, \delta_2) &\in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_2 + \\
&\quad (y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j + \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) \delta_1 \delta_2 \\
&\in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \\
&= (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + (y_1^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_1^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} (y_2^i, \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p y_1^{i_1} y_1^{i_2} u_{i_1, i_2}^j + \sum_{i_1=1}^p y_2^{i_1} u_{i_1}^j) (\delta_1 + \delta_2)^2 \\
&\in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the coveted result. ■

Generally, by the same token, we have

**Theorem 41** *Given  $(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) \in \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) \\
&= \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta^k}{k!} (y_k^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_n})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
&\left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i, u^j) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta^k}{k!} \sum_{i_1=1}^p \sum_{i_2=1}^p \dots \sum_{i_r=1}^p (y_k^i, u_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^j y_{i_1}^{i_1} \dots y_{i_r}^{i_r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\
&\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_n})_{(x^i, u^j)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the undecorated  $\sum$  is taken over all partitions of the positive integer  $k$  into positive integers  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  (so that  $k = k_1 + \dots + k_r$ ) with  $1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n$ .

**Definition 42** *We define mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{S}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{D_n}(\pi)$  to be*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)}(x^i, u^j, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j) \\
&= \left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (x^i) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta^k}{k!} (y_k^i) \in \mathbb{R}^p \right] \in (M \otimes \mathcal{W}_{D_n})_{(x^i)} \mapsto \\
&\left[ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \left( x^i, u^j + \frac{\delta}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq p} y_1^{i_1} \dots y_1^{i_n} u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \right] \\
&\in (E \otimes \mathcal{W}_D)_{(x^i, u^j)}^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

**Proposition 43** *The mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{S}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{D_n}(\pi)$  are bijective.*

It is also easy to see that

**Proposition 44** *Given  $\nabla = (x^i, u^j, u_{i_1}^j, u_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, u_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j), \nabla' = (y^i, v^j, v_{i_1}^j, v_{i_1, i_2}^j, \dots, v_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}^j) \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)$ , we have*

$$\pi_n^{n+1}(\nabla) = \pi_n^{n+1}(\nabla')$$

*iff*

$$\pi_n^{n+1} \left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla) \right) = \pi_n^{n+1} \left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla') \right),$$

*in which we get*

$$\theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla - \nabla') = \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla) - \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}(\nabla')$$

Now we have

**Theorem 45** *The mappings  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)$  are bijective.*

**Proof.** The mapping  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)$  is obviously bijective. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . By Proposition 44 and the induction hypothesis,  $\left( \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)}, \theta_{\mathbb{S}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi)} \times \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)}, \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \right)$  gives a morphism of affine bundles from the affine bundle  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  over the vector bundle  $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\pi) \times_E \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n(\pi)$  to the affine bundle  $\pi_{n+1, n} : \mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)$  over the vector bundle  $\mathbb{S}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi) \times_E \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)$  is an isomorphism of affine bundles, so that the mapping  $\theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi)} : \mathcal{J}^{n+1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_{n+1}}(\pi)$  is bijective. ■

**Corollary 46** *The mappings  $\psi_n : \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)$  are bijective.*

**Proof.** This follows simply from Theorems 37 and 45 and the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{J}^n(\pi) & \\ \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \swarrow & & \searrow \theta_{\mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi)}^{\mathcal{J}^n(\pi)} \\ \mathbb{J}^{D^n}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & \mathbb{J}^{D_n}(\pi) \end{array}$$

■

## References

- [1] Kock, A.: Formal manifolds and synthetic theory of jet bundles, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle, **21** (1980), 227-246.
- [2] Kock, A.: Synthetic Differential Geometry, 2nd edition, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **333**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [3] Nishimura, Hirokazu: Differential Geometry of Microlinear Frölicher spaces IV-1, arXiv 1109.1526, 2011.